

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL

memorandum

TO: Chief, Reference Information Center

Chief: Common Carrier Bureau

FROM: Daniel M. Armstrong m

Associate General Counsel

SUBJECT SBC Communications Inc. v. FCC & USA, No. 01-1075; United Stares Telecom

Association v. FCC & USA, No. 01-1102; and Verizon California Inc., et al. v. FCC & USA. No. 01-1103. Filing of three Petitions for Review in the United

States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit.

DATE: March 12, 2001

This is to advise you that, 011 February 16, 2001, SBC Communications Inc. filed a Petition for Review, and on March 5, 2001, the Verizon telephone companies also filed a Petition for Review pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 402(a) of: (1) the Third Report and Order in CC Docket No. 98-147, Fourth Report and Order in CC Docket No. 96-98, Deployment of Wireline Services Offering Advanced Telecommunications Capability and Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, 14 FCC Rcd 20912 (1999) ("Line Sharing Order"); and (2) the Third Report and Order on Reconsideration in CC Docket No. 98-147. Fourth Report and Order on Reconsideration in CC Docket No. 96-98, Third Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in CC Docket No. 98-147, Sixth Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in CC Docket No. 96-98, Deployment oj Wireline Services Offering Advanced Telecommunications Capability und Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, FCC 01-26 (rel. Jan. 19, 2001) ("Line Sharing Reconsideration Order"). On March 5, 2001, the United States Telecom Association filed a Petition for Review of only the Line Sharing Reconsideration Order because it has a pending Petition for Review in the Court of the Line Sharing Order.

Petitioners challenge the FCC's reconsideration order and initial order in which the FCC instituted line sharing obligations for incumbent LECs, and established spectrum management policies and rules.

The Court has docketed these cases as Nos. 01-1075, 01-1102 and 01-1103, and consolidated the cases. The attorney assigned to handle the litigation of these cases is Laurence N. Bourne.